Increasing productivity in hydrophobic interaction chromatography (HIC) using Capto™ resins

**Introduction**

HIC is a biomolecule purification technique that uses differences in hydrophobicity to separate proteins. The strength of the reversible interaction between proteins and the hydrophobic surface of an HIC resin is influenced by the properties of the HIC resin and proteins of interest, as well as salt concentration. It is, therefore, important to screen molecules of interest to determine which resin and conditions are the most favorable for separation. In general, HIC is useful for the capture, purification, and polishing steps of protein purification.

HIC processing with a linear gradient elution involves reducing salt concentration linearly so proteins elute sequentially based on the strength of the hydrophobic interaction with the resin, with the most hydrophobic protein eluting last. This method is effective for resin screening, profiling proteins from a sample of unknown components, achieving high-resolution separation, or determining optimal separation parameters. A step elution involves separate and distinct additions of elution buffer at decreasing salt concentrations. This process is used once HIC conditions have been optimized, achieving high purity and allowing for faster separation times with reduced buffer consumption.

Due to increased rigidity and cross-linking as compared to earlier generation Sepharose resins, Capto resins allow for higher flow rates and increased throughput. Physical and chemical stability also support cleaning-in-place (CIP), resulting in a less frequent need to repack columns.

**Methods**

**Hydrophobicity mapping**

In this study, the aim was to generate a hydrophobicity map using a mAb and its corresponding Fab. These results were then compared to a previous relative hydrophobicity scale that had been generated using three model proteins. The detailed information of this earlier study can be found in the data file for Capto Phenyl ImpRes and Capto Butyl ImpRes (29031925AB).

For the experiment, an ÄKTA™ pure 25 chromatography system was used with resins packed in Tricorn™ 5/100 columns. Method details are outlined in Table 1.
The following HIC resins were considered:

- Capto Octyl
- Capto Butyl
- Capto Phenyl (high sub)
- Capto Phenyl ImpRes
- Capto Butyl ImpRes
- Octyl Sepharose 4 Fast Flow
- Phenyl Sepharose 6 Fast Flow (low sub)
- Phenyl Sepharose 6 Fast Flow (high sub)
- Butyl Sepharose 4 Fast Flow
- Phenyl Sepharose High Performance
- Butyl Sepharose High Performance

**Aggregate removal**

The mAb sample from the hydrophobicity mapping experiment was subjected to heat treatment at high pH to produce an aggregate-containing mAb sample. The aggregate content of the resulting sample was determined to be ~10% by size exclusion chromatography (SEC). For all SEC in this study, Superdex™ 200 Increase 10/300 GL resin and an ÄKTAexplorer™ 10 chromatography system with autosampler were used.

Aggregate separation was done using an ÄKTA pure 25 chromatography system. For the gradient elution experiments, the resins considered were Capto Butyl ImpRes and Butyl Sepharose High Performance packed in Tricorn 5/50 columns. For the step elution experiment at an increased flow rate of 0.65 mL/min (200 cm/h) and a 20 cm bed height, Capto Butyl ImpRes was packed in a Tricorn 5/200 column. UNICORN™ methods for both studies are described in Table 2. Fractions were collected during elution and strip in volumes of 0.5 mL for gradient runs and 1 mL for the step gradient method. Starting materials and fractions were analyzed using SEC.

### Table 1. Method for hydrophobicity scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mAb</th>
<th>Fab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>MabSelect SuRe™ LX purified mAb 29 g/L, injection volume 50 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer A</td>
<td>25 mM phosphate with 1.2 M ammonium sulfate pH 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer B</td>
<td>25 mM phosphate, pH 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient</td>
<td>0 to 100% B, 20 CV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Summary of aggregate removal methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gradient elution</th>
<th>Step elution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow rate</strong></td>
<td>0.25 mL/min (75 cm/h)</td>
<td>0.65 mL/min (200 cm/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bed height (cm)</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buffers</strong></td>
<td>A: 25 mM citrate with 1 M ammonium sulphate, pH 5.0 B: ultrapure deionized water</td>
<td>A: 25 mM citrate, 850 mM ammonium sulphate, pH 5.0 B: 25 mM citrate, pH 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNICORN method</strong></td>
<td>Equilibration, 85% A and 15% B, 2 CV Sample load, 66% of $Q_{10}^{1}$ Column wash, 15% B, 5 CV Gradient elution, 15% B to 100% B, 10 CV Strip, 100% B, 5 CV Re-equilibration, 15% B, 5 CV</td>
<td>Equilibration with buffer A, 0% B, 2 CV Sample load, 65% of $Q_{10}^{1}$ Column wash, 0% B, 2 CV Step elution, 50% B, 5 CV Strip, 100% B, 5 CV Re-equilibration, A buffer, 3 CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CIP</strong></td>
<td>0.1 M NaOH, 0.5 mL/min, 1 CV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 $Q_{10}^{1}$ = dynamic binding capacity at 10% breakthrough
Results and discussion

**Hydrophobicity mapping**

Gradient runs were performed using a mAb and its corresponding Fab. Elution positions for the samples on the different resins were compared and organized from least to most hydrophobic (Fig 1). A comparison to the previous mapping with model proteins showed that the butyl resins were most prone to change in relative hydrophobicity when comparing model proteins and the mAb (Fig 2).

(A) Hydrophobicity mapping with mAb

(B) Hydrophobicity mapping with Fab

Fig 1 Hydrophobicity scale with a mAb and its corresponding Fab. Increasing hydrophobicity indicates increased binding strength and later elution in the gradient. The circles highlight where hydrophobicity order of the evaluated HIC resins is different between the studied molecules.

Fig 2 Hydrophobicity scale with model proteins from data file 29031925AB. The arrow highlights how hydrophobicity differs for Capto Butyl ImpRes with the mAb compared to different model proteins.

**Aggregate removal**

HIC is a valuable technique for removing aggregates. Here, an application example is presented comparing aggregate removal on Capto Butyl ImpRes and Butyl Sepharose High Performance resins (Figs 3–4). While Capto Phenyl ImpRes and Phenyl Sepharose High Performance resins were also initially considered, separation was more difficult to achieve. This suggests that the phenyl resins were too hydrophobic for the mAb in this experiment, and they were not included in this portion of the study.
Fig 3. Capto Butyl ImpRes and Butyl Sepharose High Performance were chosen for the aggregate polishing step due to their small particle size and thus high-resolution properties.

Fig 4. At a load of 66% of Q_{agg} in 1 mL columns (10 cm bed height) with a flow velocity of 75 cm/h, (A) Capto Butyl ImpRes and (B) Butyl Sepharose High Performance both effectively decrease the aggregate content and show similar elution profiles.
Capto Butyl ImpRes and Butyl Sepharose High Performance resins showed similar capabilities in aggregate removal (Fig 4). Using Capto Butyl ImpRes instead of Butyl Sepharose High Performance improves productivity (Fig 5) as higher bed heights and flow rates can be used while maintaining monomer purity and favorable yield (Fig 6–7). Mass balance for the monomer and aggregates was calculated for this final run using Capto Butyl ImpRes at a flow velocity of 200 cm/h and bed height of 20 cm. Results showed an aggregate content below 1% at 98% monomer yield.

**Fig 5.** The window of operation (area below the curves) of Sepharose High Performance and Capto ImpRes resins. Data correspond to a process diameter column at 20°C and viscosity equivalent to water.

![Graph showing the window of operation for Sepharose High Performance and Capto ImpRes resins.](image)

**Conclusions**

The relative hydrophobicity scale of the resins in this study was different than the hydrophobicity mapping previously performed with other model proteins. The results from this study indicate that the hydrophobities of the butyl resins were most prone to change based on sample molecule. This highlights the importance of screening to find the most suitable resin for the target molecule of interest. The newly launched HIC selection kits (see “Ordering information”) are available to support choosing the most efficient resin for every specific target molecule.

Aggregate removal to less than 1% was achieved at increased bed height and flow velocity with Capto Butyl ImpRes resin. The chemical and physical stability of Capto HIC resins also support CIP so column repacking can take place less frequently. These efficiency benefits and the potential for improved productivity make Capto HIC resins a favorable option for high-throughput industrial applications.
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**Ordering information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Product code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HiTrap™ Capto HIC Selection Kit, 5 × 1 mL</td>
<td>29321087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreDictor™ Capto HIC screening, 50 µL</td>
<td>29305795</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>